

phlets which should prove useful tools to physicians and others who are asked for this type of advice. They are designed for high school and college students interested in nursing, and discuss the opportunities within the profession, the meaning of "training," how to choose a nursing school, and the general admission requirements of nursing schools. Copies may be had on application to the American Nurses' Association, 50 West Fiftieth Street, New York City.

Sincerely yours,
MARY M. ROBERTS,
Director, Nursing Information Bureau.

Concerning appreciation for a talk on "Social Medicine."

(COPY)
WESTWOOD VILLAGE KIWANIS CLUB
Westwood Village, Los Angeles,
December 18, 1937.

Mr. S. K. Cochems,
Executive Secretary, Los Angeles
County Medical Association,
Los Angeles, California.
Dear Mr. Cochems:

In behalf of the Westwood Village Kiwanis Club, I want to take this opportunity to express to you their appreciation of the splendid talk which you gave before our Club under date of December 17, 1937. The subject which you chose, namely, "Social Medicine," was a very timely one and one which should be well followed out in order to protect the profession which you represent.

Personally, I only feel sorry that more of our big business enterprises are not equipping themselves with speakers to combat this present-day element which has been devastating our business and professional people for the past several years. However, as stated by you, that advising the communities and organizing to get people interested in this so-called social insurance should be handled through many businesses and organizations to get results. I know for one organization, namely, the Westwood Village Kiwanis Club, you will find them wholly in accord with your message.

I personally want to thank you for giving your valuable time in appearing before our Club, and I want to extend to you a personal invitation to visit our Club and partake of our fellowship at any time you happen to be in Westwood Village. With best wishes for a Merry Christmas and a Prosperous New Year,

Very truly yours,
CARL G. CHOLCHER,
President.

Concerning the Advisory Board for Medical Specialties.

New York, N. Y., November 4, 1937.

To the Editor:—The Advisory Board for Medical Specialties adopted the following resolution at its meeting in Atlantic City on June 6, 1937:

Resolved, That the President appoint four members of the Advisory Board for Medical Specialties with power to add to their number and to form a Commission on Graduate Medical Education to study the problems of graduate and postgraduate medical training, such a Commission to be comprised of representatives of the medical profession, the hospitals, the universities, the medical schools, and the licensing bodies.

In keeping with that action, may I report that a Commission on Graduate Medical Education has been created, the personnel of which is attached.

The Commission will undertake to mobilize current opinions as to how the problems in this field can best be solved and to formulate the educational principles involved in graduate and postgraduate medical training. It is hoped that standards of training can be drawn up which will be of help to the Council on Medical Education and Hospitals and other agencies concerned with the inspection and evaluation of the facilities needed. There would be no duplication of effort nor conflict with the Council and these other agencies. The results of the studies by the Commission should be of real assistance to the specialty boards, the medical

profession, hospitals, medical schools, state boards of medical examiners, and other institutions and organizations concerned with this phase of American medicine.

630 W. 168th Street.

Sincerely yours,

WILLARD C. RAPPLEYE, M.D., *President.*

ADVISORY BOARD FOR MEDICAL SPECIALTIES

Organized in 1933-1934 for the purpose of coördinating graduate education and certification of medical specialists in the United States and Canada.

This Board reports to and functions in conjunction with the Council on Medical Education and Hospitals of the American Medical Association.

OFFICERS AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Willard C. Rappleye, M.D., President, New York, N. Y.
W. P. Wherry, M.D., Vice-President, Omaha, Nebraska
Paul Titus, M.D., Secretary-Treasurer, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

R. C. Buerki, M.D., Madison, Wisconsin.

W. B. Lancaster, M.D., Boston, Massachusetts.

MEMBER ORGANIZATIONS AND REPRESENTATIVES

(Names marked with an asterisk (*) are the corresponding secretaries of the respective boards.)

The Association of American Medical Colleges

*Louis B. Wilson, M.D., Mayo Foundation, Rochester, Minnesota.

Willard C. Rappleye, M.D., 630 W. 168th Street, New York, N. Y.

The American Hospital Association

*R. C. Buerki, M.D., 1300 University Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin.

G. Harvey Agnew, M.D., Canadian Medical Association, 184 College Street, Toronto, Canada.

The Federation of State Medical Boards of the U. S. A.

G. M. Williamson, M.D., 2½ South Third Street, Grand Forks, North Dakota.

*Harold Rypins, M.D., State Education Building, Albany, New York.

The National Board of Medical Examiners

*J. Stewart Rodman, M.D., 225 South Fifteenth Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Waller S. Leathers, M.D., Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee.

The American Board of Ophthalmology

Walter B. Lancaster, M.D., 520 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts.

*John Green, M.D., 3720 Washington Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri.

The American Board of Otolaryngology

H. P. Mosher, M.D., 828 Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts.

*W. P. Wherry, M.D., 1500 Medical Arts Building, Omaha, Nebraska.

The American Board of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Joseph L. Baer, M.D., 104 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.

*Paul Titus, M.D., 1015 Highland Building, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

The American Board of Dermatology and Syphilology

Howard Fox, M.D., 140 East Fifty-fourth Street, New York, N. Y.

*C. Guy Lane, M.D., 416 Marlborough Street, Boston, Massachusetts.

The American Board of Pediatrics

Borden S. Veeder, M.D., 3720 Washington Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri.

*C. Anderson Aldrich, M.D., 723 Elm Street, Winnetka, Illinois.

The American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology

Franklin G. Ebaugh, M.D., Colorado Psychopathic Hospital, Denver, Colorado.

*Walter Freeman, M.D., 1028 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D. C.

The American Board of Radiology

A. C. Christie, M.D., 1835 Eye Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.

*B. R. Kirklin, M.D., Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota.

The American Board of Orthopedic Surgery

Willis C. Campbell, M.D., 869 Madison Avenue, Memphis, Tennessee.

*Fremont A. Chandler, M.D., 6 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.

The American Board of Urology

Herman L. Kretschmer, M.D., 122 South Michigan Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois.

*Gilbert J. Thomas, M.D., 1009 Nicollet Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

The American Board of Internal Medicine

*Walter L. Bierring, M.D., Suite 1210, 406 Sixth Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa.

O. H. Perry Pepper, M.D., Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

The American Board of Pathology

A. H. Sanford, M.D., Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota.

*F. W. Hartman, M.D., Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan.

The American Board of Surgery

Erwin R. Schmidt, M.D., Wisconsin General Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin.

*J. Stewart Rodman, M.D., 225 South Fifteenth Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

COMMISSION ON GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION

Fred L. Adair, Chicago; A. C. Bachmeyer, Chicago; Donald C. Balfour, Rochester; Kenneth D. Blackfan, Boston; James D. Bruce, Ann Arbor; R. C. Buerki, Madison; Anton J. Carlson, Chicago; Walter F. Donaldson, Pittsburgh; Reginald Flitz, Boston; Evarts A. Graham, St. Louis; F. W. Hartman, Detroit; Willard C. Rappleye, New York; J. Stewart Rodman, Philadelphia; Harold Rypins, Albany; Alfred Stengel, Philadelphia; William P. Wherry, Omaha; Allen O. Whipple, New York; Ray Lyman Wilbur, Stanford; John Youmans, Nashville.

MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE†

By HARTLEY F. PEART, ESQ.
San Francisco

Responsibility of Physician for Acts of Nurse: Doctrine of Res Ipsa Loquitur in Cases of Treatment by Means of Infra-Red Rays

The District Court of Appeal for the Third Appellate District has just decided the case of *McCullough vs. Langer*, 91 Cal. App. Dec. 444, in which the facts were these: Plaintiff commenced action against a physician and a nurse employed by the physician for alleged improper use of an infra-red incandescent lamp which caused permanent injury to plaintiff's leg in the nature of a third-degree burn. A jury returned a verdict in favor of the nurse, but against the physician. Two physicians testified for the plaintiff that the third-degree burn was the result of submitting tender devitalized flesh of a bruised thigh to extreme heat from the infra-red light for too great a length of time. It further appeared from plaintiff's testimony that a compress was placed over plaintiff's bruised thigh and left undisturbed over the wound with the heat of the lamp applied thereto for more than four hours without any effort being made by the physician to ascertain the result of such treatment. Plaintiff introduced evidence to the effect that the lamp would generate 140 degrees of heat in one hour and that the maximum heat which should be applied to that wound, under the circumstances, was not to exceed 110 degrees Fahrenheit.

The defendant physician appealed from the judgment against him, and two of the reasons which he urged for reversal of the judgment were:

1. That the exoneration of the nurse from negligence exempted him from liability because the nurse actually administered the infra-red heat treatment, and
2. That the trial court erroneously applied the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur*.

With respect to the first contention of the defendant physician the District Court of Appeal held that since the nurse was acting under the direction of the physician and not acting independently, the physician was liable not merely because he was the nurse's employer, but also because he was a joint participant in the act complained of by the plaintiff. The following portion of the Court's opinion indicates the tremendous legal responsibility placed upon physicians for the acts of nurses and other assistants:

Under the circumstances of this case the nurse was presumed to attend the patient under the supervision and direction of her employer, Doctor Langer. As the *McInerney* case, *supra*, states, the employer "was therefore liable not merely under the rule of respondeat superior, but rather as a joint participant in the acts complained of." (*Armstrong vs. Wallace*, 8 Cal. App. (2nd) 429, 439.) In the case last cited, this Court said:

†Editor's Note.—This department of CALIFORNIA AND WESTERN MEDICINE, containing copy submitted by Hartley F. Peart, Esq., will contain excerpts from and syllabi of recent decisions and analyses of legal points and procedures of interest to the profession.

"The surgeon had the power and, therefore, the duty to direct the nurse to count the sponges as a part of his work in the opening and closing of plaintiff's abdomen and the putting in and taking out of sponges, and it was his responsibility to see that such work was done."

In the present case it was the duty of the doctor in his treatment of the patient to see that the compress and lamp were used in such a manner as to prevent the application of excessive heat and a consequent burning of the flesh. The supervision of the doctor is shown by his personal visits to the room of the patient and by the inquiry of the nurse addressed to him an hour before the burn was discovered as to whether she should not then change the compress and lamp, to which he replied, "Leave them until after his evening meal." The appellant was, therefore, not relieved of liability because of the verdict favorable to his codefendant.

With respect to the defendant physician's second contention, namely, that the trial court erroneously instructed the jury that the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur* applied to the case, the District Court of Appeal stated that *res ipsa loquitur* ("the thing speaks for itself") applies to cases involving the negligence of a physician in the use of x-ray pictures taken for the purpose of diagnosing an ailment and also in the manner of actually treating the disorder. The Court said that in the present case the plaintiff was burned in some way unknown to him and as a result of the treatment of his injury by the physician and the nurse in his employ. It occurred while he was under the influence of opiates, which prevented him from knowing just what caused the burn. The Court then held that, under such circumstances, the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur* was applicable and that the burden was on the physician to show that the third-degree burns were not occasioned by his negligence.

Hence, the court held mere proof that he was burned while undergoing treatment through the use of an infra-red incandescent lamp was sufficient to sustain the plaintiff's case and to cast responsibility upon the physician. The physician then had the burden of convincing the jury that he was not at fault.

SPECIAL ARTICLES

DR. PHILIP MILLS JONES (1870-1916)*

Philip Mills Jones, M.D., a member of the Board of Trustees of the Association, secretary of the Medical Society of the State of California, and editor of the "California State Journal of Medicine" died in San Francisco, November 27, 1916, from pneumonia.

He was born in Brooklyn, January 17, 1870, and after attending the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, from which he was graduated in 1886, he entered the academic course in New York University and then took his course in medicine at the Long Island College Hospital, Brooklyn, and was graduated in 1891. After practicing in Brooklyn until 1900, he moved to California and became associated with the University of California, in the Department of Archeology. He took a prominent part in the reorganization of the Medical Society of the State of California in 1902 and since that time had been secretary of that constituent state association and editor of its official organ. In order to serve his state association more effectively, and because he had found difficulty in securing lawyers who appreciated the medical phases of legal questions, in October, 1916, Doctor Jones passed the necessary examinations and was admitted to the bar to practice as an attorney and counselor at law in all the courts in the State of California.

He represented his state association in the House of Delegates of the American Medical Association continuously from the session of 1903 to the session of 1908. At this latter session he was elected a member of the Board of Trustees of the American Medical Association and served in that capacity up to the time of his death. He was a member of the National Committee of One Hundred on

*Editor's Note.—In connection with comment made in this issue, concerning the late Philip M. Jones, founder-editor of the official journal of the California Medical Association, and because it has taken months to locate a photograph of Doctor Jones, the obituary notice which appeared in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* of December 2, 1916, is here reprinted for the information of present-day members, and as a contribution to the historical files of the California Medical Association. For editorial comment, see page 1.